

The Holistic Universe and the Variation of the Fine Structure Constant

B.G. Sidharth*

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences
B.M. Birla Science Centre, Hyderabad 500 063 (India)

Abstract

Inaba recently used a simple model to suggest that Quantum Theory can result from a fluctuation in the cosmos. In this note we confirm this conclusion from a different and more general point of view. We then argue that this provides an explanation for the recently observed variation of the fine structure constant.

1 Introduction

Recently Inaba [1] has argued that a fluctuation in the Robertson-Walker geometry yields a random motion for a particle in the universe, which latter is equivalent to the usual Quantum Theory. He argues that this may be suggestive of a manifestation of Mach's principle. Inaba's simple model is based on the work of Santamoto [2, 3, 4, 5], who tried to give a geometrical interpretation for Quantum Theory. The object of the present note is to confirm this result, from a different and more general viewpoint, namely, in terms of fluctuations in the universe.

⁰E-mail: birlasc@hd1.vsnl.net.in

2 Fluctuations

We first observe that it is not surprising that Quantum Theory should be the effect of fluctuations in the universe as a whole. In fact as pointed out [6, 7, 8], the fluctuation in the mass of a typical elementary particle, for example the pion, due to the fluctuation $\sim \sqrt{N}$ of the particle number $N \sim 10^{80}$ is given by

$$\Delta m \approx \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{c^2 R}$$

Whence

$$(\Delta mc^2)T = \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{R}T = \frac{G\sqrt{N}m^2}{c} \quad (1)$$

where T is the age of the universe and R its radius, which equals cT .

Not only is the right side of equation (1) the reduced Planck constant $\hbar$, in the order of magnitude sense, but also equation (1) itself is an expression of the Uncertainty relation

$$\Delta E \Delta t \approx \hbar.$$

Equation (1) again suggests the origin of Quantum Theory in cosmic fluctuations.

Before proceeding it is worth mentioning that the above line of reasoning leads to a cosmology which deduces from theory all the supposedly miraculously coincidental large number relations of Dirac as also the empirical inexplicable Weinberg formula which relates the mass of the elementary particle, the pion to large scale parameters like the Hubble Constant [9, 10],

$$m_\pi \approx \left(\frac{H\hbar^2}{Gc} \right)^{1/3}$$

The cosmological scheme is also consistent with the subsequent discovery that not only is the universe not decelerating but is actually accelerating and will expand for ever [11, 12, 7, 8].

Inaba deduces for a nearly flat Robertson-Walker universe from a minimum average curvature principle, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a single particle,

$$\partial_t S + \frac{1}{2m} g^{ij} (\nabla_i S) (\nabla_j S) + V - \alpha R = 0. \quad (2)$$

where the curvature R is given by

$$R = R^{(b)} + R'; \quad R^{(b)} = 6 \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\ddot{a}^2}{a^2} \right),$$

R' being the fluctuation effect, $R^{(b)}$ being the curvature in the standard Robertson-Walker geometry.

Equation (2) leads by the standard Madelung-Bohm or Nelson Theory to the Schrodinger equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\psi + V\psi - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}R^{(b)} \quad (3)$$

Inaba then argues that (3) is indeed the Quantum Mechanical equation in the classical Robertson-Walker geometry - it is the perturbation R' in the Robertson-Walker geometry that lead to (3).

We can justify the above conclusion as follows: We first observe that in the random motion of N particles, l the fluctuation in the length is given by

$$l \approx \frac{R}{\sqrt{N}} \quad (4)$$

What is very interesting is that using for R the actual radius of the universe $\sim 10^{28}cm$, and for N the actual number of particles in the universe, (4) reduces to the well known Eddington formula, $l \sim 10^{-12}cm$ being the Compton wavelength of a typical elementary particle, like the electron.

Further the diffusion equation describing the motion of a particle with position given by $x(t)$ subject to random corrections is given by the well known equation

$$|\Delta x| = \sqrt{\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle} \approx \nu\sqrt{\Delta t},$$

where the diffusion constant ν is related to the mean free path l and the mean velocity v

$$\nu \approx lv \quad (5)$$

Identifying l of equation (5) with that in (4), we, as in the case of Nelson's derivation, arrive at the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2) and thence the Schrodinger equation (3) [13, 14, 15, 7]. Incidentally, this also provides a rationale to the otherwise adhoc identification in Nelsonian theory viz.,

$$\nu = \hbar/m$$

Thus using the equations of Brownian Motion in the context of all the particles in the universe, we arrive at the same equations (2) and (3) of Inaba based on a minimum curvature principle and Santamoto's geometric Quantum Mechanics.

Infact one can look upon the above results in terms of the fluctuation of the metric itself. In Santamoto's original formulation [2, 3, 4, 5], the geometry is Weyl's guage invariant geometry, where there is no invariant length and infact we have

$$\delta l^2 \sim l^2 \delta g_{ik} \quad (6)$$

It must be stressed that (6) is valid for arbitrary vectors A^i , in which case l would be their length.

Using the usual geometrodynamic formula for the fluctuation of the metric[16], we have

$$l^2 \delta g_{ik} \approx \Delta g_{ik} \approx \frac{l_P}{l} \quad (7)$$

where l_P is the Planck length.

Whence we get

$$\delta g_{ik} \sim 1 \quad (8)$$

if l is of the order $10^{-11}cm$ or the electron Compton wavelength.

Similarly using (4) in (6), we recover (8), as in the Weyl geometry.

This establishes the equivalence of the two approaches and reconfirms the Machian feature, from a more general viewpoint.

3 The Fine Structure Constant

In the Weyl geometry considered above, or in a more general scheme of a non commutative geometry[17, 18] we have equations like (6), (7) and (8). What they show is that at the Compton wavelength l , the variation of length δl is the Planck length, the absolute minimum length; in this sense l is the minimum physical length (Cf.refs.[7, 8]and [10]).

We now observe that, in the above spirit if we consider the gravitational potential energy of the particle as its inertial energy, we get

$$\frac{NGm^2}{r} \approx mc^2 \quad (9)$$

In (9) if $N = 1$ and $r \sim$ the Planck length, then we get the Planck mass. If $N \sim 10^{80}$ and $r \sim$ of the radius of the universe, we get the mass of an elementary particle like the electron. Thus it is the presence of N particles that leads to electromagnetism. If $N = 1$, then we would be at the Planck mass and Planck length. Indeed as can be seen from (1), in this case the Compton wavelength of such a Planck particle would equal its schwarzschild radius and electromagnetism and gravitation would become one (Cf.refs.[7] and [19]): electromagnetism would disappear.

Let us now examine the above situation in the light of the fluctuational cosmology model (Cf.ref.[7, 18] and [12]). In this model $\sqrt{N}$ particles are fluctuationally created within the minimum Compton scale time, and as noted in Section 2 this leads to a consistent explanation of several otherwise adhoc features as also the recently observed acceleration of the universe. It then follows that in the early universe when $N \sim 1$, the present day Compton wavelength would have been of the order of the Planck length.

On the other hand the Compton wavelength leads to a correction in the electrostatic potential experienced by an orbiting electron in an atom, similar to the Darwin term[20]. Briefly we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \delta V \rangle &= \langle V(\vec{r} + \delta \vec{r}) \rangle - V\langle \vec{r} \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \delta r_i \delta r_j \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} \rangle \\ &\approx 0(1) \delta r^2 \nabla^2 V\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

From (10) it follows that if $\delta r \sim l$, the Compton wavelength then

$$\frac{\Delta \alpha}{\alpha} \sim 10^{-5}\tag{11}$$

where α is the fine structure constant and $\Delta \alpha$ is the change in the fine structure constant from the early universe.

Equation (11) is consistent with the recent observational estimates of Webb et al., for the evolution of the fine structure constant[21].

References

- [1] M. Inaba, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A., Vol.16, No.17, 2001, p.2965-2973.

- [2] E. Santamato, Phys.Rev.D., Vol.29, No.2, January 1984, p.216ff.
- [3] E. Santamato, J.Math.Phys., 25 (8), August 1984, p.2477ff.
- [4] E. Santamato, Phys.Rev.D., Vol.32, No.10, November 1985, p.2615ff.
- [5] E. Santamato, Phys.Lett.A., Vol.130,No.4,5, July 1988, p.199ff.
- [6] S. Hayakawa, Suppl of PTP Commemorative Issue, 1965, p.532-541.
- [7] B.G. Sidharth, "Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale", Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [8] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, 13 (15), 1998, p.2599ff.
- [9] S. Weinberg, "Gravitation and Cosmology", John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972, p.62.
- [10] B.G. Sidharth, Gravitation and Cosmology, 4 (2) (14), 1998, p.158ff.
- [11] S. Perlmutter, et al, Nature, Vol.391, 1 January 1998, p.51-59.
- [12] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Th.Phys., 37 (4), 1998, p.1307ff.
- [13] L. Smolin, in "Quantum Concepts in Space and Time", Eds., R. Penrose and C.J. Isham, OUP, Oxford, 1986, pp.147-181.
- [14] B.G. Sidharth, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 12, 2001, p.173-178.
- [15] L. Nottale, "Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics: Towards a Theory of Scale Relativity", World Scientific, Singapore, 1993, p.312.
- [16] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973, pp.819ff.
- [17] B.G. Sidharth, Il Nuovo Cimento, 116B (6), 2001, pg.4 ff.
- [18] B.G. Sidharth, "A Reconciliation of Electromagnetism and Gravitation", to appear in Annales de la Fondation Louis d Broglie.
- [19] B.G. Sidharth, and A.D. Popova, Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems (DEDS), 4(3/4),1996, pp431-440.

- [20] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, "Relativistic Quantum Mechanics", McGraw Hill, New York, 1964, p.24.
- [21] J.K. Webb et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 87 (9), 2001, pp.091301-1 ff.